

Singularity of Mean Curvature Flow of Lagrangian Submanifolds

Jingyi Chen*

Department of Mathematics
University of British Columbia
Vancouver, B.C. V6T 1Z2
Canada
jychen@math.ubc.ca

Jiayu Li†

Institute of Mathematics
Fudan University, Shanghai
Academia Sinica, Beijing
P.R. China
lijia@math.ac.cn

Abstract

In this article we study the tangent cones at first time singularity of a Lagrangian mean curvature flow. If the initial compact submanifold Σ_0 is Lagrangian and almost calibrated by $\operatorname{Re} \Omega$ in a Calabi-Yau n -fold (M, Ω) , and $T > 0$ is the first blow-up time of the mean curvature flow, then the tangent cone of the mean curvature flow at a singular point (X_0, T) is a stationary Lagrangian integer multiplicity current in $\mathbf{R}^{2n}$ with volume density greater than one at X_0 . When $n = 2$, the tangent cone consists of a finite union of more than one 2-planes in $\mathbf{R}^4$ which are complex in a complex structure on $\mathbf{R}^4$.

1 Introduction

Let M be a compact Calabi-Yau manifold of complex dimension n with a Kähler form ω , a complex structure J , a Kähler metric g and a parallel holomorphic $(n, 0)$ -form Ω of unit length. An immersed submanifold Σ in M is Lagrangian if $\omega|_{\Sigma} = 0$. The induced volume form $d\mu_{\Sigma}$ on a Lagrangian submanifold Σ from the Ricci-flat metric g is related to Ω by

$$\Omega|_{\Sigma} = e^{i\theta} d\mu_{\Sigma} = \cos \theta d\mu_{\Sigma} + i \sin \theta d\mu_{\Sigma}, \quad (1)$$

*Chen is supported partially by a Sloan fellowship and a NSERC grant.

†Li is partially supported by the National Science Foundation of China and by the Partner Group of MPI for Mathematics.

where the phase function θ is multi-valued and is well-defined up to an additive constant $2k\pi, k \in \mathbf{Z}$. Nevertheless, $\cos \theta$ and $\sin \theta$ are single valued function on Σ . For any tangent vector X to M a straightforward calculation shows

$$X\theta = -g(\mathbf{H}, JX) \quad (2)$$

where $\mathbf{H}$ is the mean curvature vector of Σ in M (cf. [HL], [TY]). Equivalently, $\mathbf{H} = J\nabla\theta$. The Lagrangian submanifold Σ is *special*, i.e. it is a minimal submanifold, if and only if θ is constant. When θ is constant on a Lagrangian submanifold Σ , the real part of $e^{-i\theta}\Omega$ is a calibration of M with comass one and Σ is a volume minimizer in its homology class [HL]. Let $\text{Re}\Omega$ be the real part of Ω . A Lagrangian submanifold is called *almost calibrated* by $\text{Re}\Omega$ if $\cos \theta > 0$.

Constructing minimal Lagrangian submanifolds is an important but very challenging task. In a compact Kähler-Einstein surface, Schoen and Wolfson [ScW] have shown the existence of a branched surface which minimizes area among Lagrangian competitors in each Lagrangian homology class, by variational method.

For a one-parameter family of immersions $F_t = F(\cdot, t) : \Sigma \rightarrow M$, we denote the image submanifolds by $\Sigma_t = F_t(\Sigma)$. If Σ_t evolves along the gradient flow of the volume functional, the first variation of the volume functional asserts that Σ_t satisfy a mean curvature flow equation:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt}F(x, t) = \mathbf{H}(x, t) \\ F(x, 0) = F_0(x), \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

When Σ is compact the mean curvature flow (3) has a smooth solution for short time $[0, T)$ by the standard parabolic theory. If Σ_0 is Lagrangian in a Kähler-Einstein ambient space M , Smoczyk has shown that Σ_t remains Lagrangian for $t < T$ and the phase function θ evolves by

$$\frac{d\theta}{dt} = \Delta\theta \quad (4)$$

where Δ is the Laplacian of the induced metric on Σ_t ([Sm1-3], also see [TY] for a derivation of (4)). It then follows that

$$\frac{\partial \cos \theta}{\partial t} = \Delta \cos \theta + |\mathbf{H}|^2 \cos \theta. \quad (5)$$

If the initial Lagrangian submanifold Σ_0 is almost calibrated, Σ_t is almost calibrated, i.e. $\cos \theta > 0$, along a smooth mean curvature flow by the parabolic maximum principle.

It is well-known that if $|\mathbf{A}|^2$, where $\mathbf{A}$ is the second fundamental form on Σ_t , is bounded uniformly as $t \rightarrow T > 0$ then (3) admits a smooth solution over $[0, T + \epsilon)$ for some $\epsilon > 0$. When $\max_{\Sigma_t} |\mathbf{A}|^2$ becomes unbounded as $t \rightarrow T$, we

say that the mean curvature flow develops a singularity at T . A lot of work has been devoted to understand these singularities (cf. [CL1-2], [E1-2], [H1-3], [HS1-2], [I1], [Wa], [Wh1-3].)

In this paper, we shall study the tangent cones at singularities of the mean curvature flow of a compact Lagrangian submanifold in a compact Calabi-Yau manifold. Especially, we shall focus on the structure of tangent cones of the mean curvature flow where a singularity occurs at the first singular time $T < \infty$.

To describe the tangent cones, suppose that (X_0, T) is a singular point of the flow (3), i.e. $|\mathbf{A}(x, t)|$ becomes unbounded when $(x, t) \rightarrow (X_0, T)$. For an arbitrary sequence of numbers $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ and any $t < 0$, if $T + \lambda^{-2}t > 0$ we set

$$F_\lambda(x, t) = \lambda(F(x, T + \lambda^{-2}t) - X_0).$$

We denote the scaled submanifold by $(\Sigma_t^\lambda, d\mu_t^\lambda)$. If the initial submanifold is Lagrangian and almost calibrated by $\text{Re}\Omega$, it is proved in Proposition 2.3 that there is a subsequence $\lambda_i \rightarrow \infty$ such that for any $t < 0$, $(\Sigma_t^{\lambda_i}, d\mu_t^{\lambda_i})$ converges to $(\Sigma^\infty, d\mu^\infty)$ in the sense of measures; the limit Σ^∞ is called a *tangent cone arising from the rescaling λ* , or simply a *λ tangent cone at (X_0, T)* . This tangent cone is independent of t as shown in Proposition 2.3.

There is also a time dependent scaling which we would like to consider

$$\tilde{F}(\cdot, s) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2(T-t)}} F(\cdot, t), \quad (6)$$

where $s = -\frac{1}{2} \log(T-t)$, $c_0 \leq s < \infty$. Here we have chosen the coordinates so that $X_0 = 0$. Rescaling of this type arises naturally in classification of singularities of mean curvature flows [H2]: assume $\lim_{t \rightarrow T-} \max_{\Sigma_t} |\mathbf{A}|^2 = \infty$, if there exists a positive constant C such that $\limsup_{t \rightarrow T-} ((T-t) \max_{\Sigma_t} |\mathbf{A}|^2) \leq C$, the mean curvature flow F has a Type I singularity at T ; otherwise it has a Type II singularity at T . Denote $\tilde{\Sigma}_s$ the rescaled submanifold by $\tilde{F}(\cdot, s)$. If a subsequence of $\tilde{\Sigma}_s$ converges in measures to a limit $\tilde{\Sigma}_\infty$, then the limit is called a *tangent cone arising from the time dependent scaling at (X_0, T)* , or simply a *t tangent cone*. In this paper, a *tangent cone* of the mean curvature flow at (X_0, T) means either a λ tangent cone or a t tangent cone at (X_0, T) .

The main result of this paper is

Theorem 1.1 *Let (M, Ω) be a compact Calabi-Yau manifold of complex dimension n . If the initial compact submanifold Σ_0 is Lagrangian and almost calibrated by $\text{Re}\Omega$, and $T > 0$ is the first blow-up time of the mean curvature flow (3), and (X_0, T) is a singular point, then the tangent cone of the mean curvature flow at (X_0, T) is a stationary Lagrangian integer multiplicity current in $\mathbf{R}^{2n}$ with volume density greater than one at X_0 . When $n = 2$, the tangent cone consists of a finite union of more than one 2-planes in $\mathbf{R}^4$ which are complex in a complex structure on $\mathbf{R}^4$.*

For symplectic mean curvature flow in Kähler-Einstein surfaces, results similar to Theorem 1.1 was obtained in [CL1]. The authors are grateful to Professor Gang Tian for stimulating conversations.

2 Existence of λ tangent cones

This section contains basic formulas and estimates which are essential for this article. First, we will derive a monotonicity formula which has a weight function introduced by the n -form $\text{Re } \Omega$. Second, we use the monotonicity formula to derive three integral estimates, which roughly say that when averaged over any time interval the mean curvature vector $\mathbf{H}_\lambda$ and the phase function $\cos \theta_\lambda$ both tend to 0 in L^2 norm over a fixed ball near the singularity, as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$. Another direct consequence of the monotonicity formula is that there is an upper bound of the volume density of the rescaled submanifolds Σ_t^λ , which allows us to extract converging subsequence in measure.

2.1 A weighted monotonicity formula

Let $H(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{X}_0, t_0, t)$ be the backward heat kernel on $\mathbf{R}^k$. Let N_t be a smooth family of submanifolds of dimension n in $\mathbf{R}^k$ defined by $F_t : N \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^k$. Define

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(\mathbf{X}, t) &= (4\pi(t_0 - t))^{(k-n)/2} H(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{X}_0, t_0, t) \\ &= \frac{1}{(4\pi(t_0 - t))^{n/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{|\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{X}_0|^2}{4(t_0 - t)}\right) \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

for $t < t_0$.

A straightforward calculation (cf. [CL1], [H1], [Wa]) shows

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \rho = \left(\frac{n}{2(t_0 - t)} - \frac{\mathbf{H} \cdot (\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{X}_0)}{2(t_0 - t)} - \frac{|\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{X}_0|^2}{4(t_0 - t)^2} \right) \rho$$

and along N_t

$$\Delta \rho = \left(\frac{|\langle \mathbf{X} - \mathbf{X}_0, \nabla \mathbf{X} \rangle|^2}{4(t_0 - t)^2} - \frac{\langle \mathbf{X} - \mathbf{X}_0, \Delta \mathbf{X} \rangle}{2(t_0 - t)} - \frac{|\nabla \mathbf{X}|^2}{2(t_0 - t)} \right) \rho$$

where Δ, ∇ are on N_t in the induced metric. Let $N_t = \Sigma_t$ be a smooth 1-parameter family of compact Lagrangian submanifolds in a compact Calabi-Yau manifold (M, Ω) of complex dimension n . Note that in the induced metric on Σ_t

$$|\nabla F|^2 = n \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta F = \mathbf{H}.$$

Therefore

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \Delta \right) \rho = - \left(\left| \mathbf{H} + \frac{(F - \mathbf{X}_0)^\perp}{2(t_0 - t)} \right|^2 - |\mathbf{H}|^2 \right) \rho. \quad (8)$$

On Σ_t we set

$$v = \cos \theta.$$

Denote the injectivity radius of (M, g) by i_M . For $\mathbf{X}_0 \in M$, take a normal coordinate neighborhood U and let $\phi \in C_0^\infty(B_{2r}(\mathbf{X}_0))$ be a cut-off function with $\phi \equiv 1$ in $B_r(\mathbf{X}_0)$, $0 < 2r < i_M$. Using the local coordinates in U we may regard $F(x, t)$ as a point in $\mathbf{R}^{2n}$ whenever $F(x, t)$ lies in U . We define

$$\Psi(\mathbf{X}_0, t_0, t) = \int_{\Sigma_t} \frac{1}{v} \phi(F) \rho(F, \mathbf{X}_0, t, t_0) d\mu_t$$

where ρ is defined by (7) by taking $k = 2n$.

Proposition 2.1 *Let $F_t : \Sigma \rightarrow M$ be a smooth mean curvature flow of a compact Lagrangian submanifold Σ_0 in a compact Calabi-Yau manifold M of complex dimension n . Suppose that Σ_0 is almost calibrated by $\text{Re}\Omega$. Then there are positive constants c_1 and c_2 depending only on M , F_0 and r which is the constant in the definition of ϕ , such that*

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(e^{c_1 \sqrt{t_0 - t}} \int_{\Sigma_t} \frac{1}{v} \phi \rho d\mu_t \right) \\ & \leq -e^{c_1 \sqrt{t_0 - t}} \int_{\Sigma_t} \frac{1}{v} \phi \rho \left(\frac{2|\nabla v|^2}{v^2} + \left| \mathbf{H} + \frac{(F - \mathbf{X}_0)^\perp}{2(t_0 - t)} \right|^2 + \frac{|\mathbf{H}|^2}{2} \right) \\ & \quad + c_2 e^{c_1 \sqrt{t_0 - t}}. \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

Proof. Notice that

$$\Delta F = \mathbf{H} + g^{ij} \Gamma_{ij}^\alpha v_\alpha$$

where $v_\alpha, \alpha = 1, \dots, n$ is a basis of $T^\perp \Sigma_t$, g^{ij} is the induced metric on Σ_t and Γ_{ij}^α is the Christoffel symbol on M . Equation (8) reads as

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \Delta \right) \rho = - \left(\left| \mathbf{H} + \frac{(F - \mathbf{X}_0)^\perp}{2(t_0 - t)} \right|^2 - |\mathbf{H}|^2 + \frac{g^{ij} \Gamma_{ij}^\alpha v_\alpha \cdot (F - \mathbf{X}_0)}{t_0 - t} \right) \rho. \tag{10}$$

From (5) we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \frac{1}{v} = \Delta \frac{1}{v} - \frac{|\mathbf{H}|^2}{v} - \frac{2|\nabla v|^2}{v^3}$$

and

$$\frac{d}{dt} d\mu_t = -|\mathbf{H}|^2 d\mu_t.$$

Moreover

$$\frac{\partial \phi(F)}{\partial t} = \nabla \phi \cdot \mathbf{H}.$$

Now we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Sigma_t} \frac{1}{v} \phi \rho \\
&= \int_{\Sigma_t} \phi \rho \Delta \frac{1}{v} - \int_{\Sigma_t} \left(\frac{|\mathbf{H}|^2}{v} + \frac{2}{v^3} |\nabla v|^2 \right) \phi \rho + \int_{\Sigma_t} \frac{1}{v} \nabla \phi \cdot \mathbf{H} \rho \\
&\quad - \int_{\Sigma_t} \frac{1}{v} \phi \left(\Delta \rho + \left(\left| \mathbf{H} + \frac{(F - \mathbf{X}_0)^\perp}{2(t_0 - t)} \right|^2 - |\mathbf{H}|^2 + \frac{g^{ij} \Gamma_{ij}^\alpha v_\alpha \cdot (F - \mathbf{X}_0)}{t_0 - t} \right) \rho \right) \\
&\quad - \int_{\Sigma_t} \frac{1}{v} \phi \rho |\mathbf{H}|^2 \\
&\leq - \int_{\Sigma_t} \phi \rho \left(\frac{2}{v^3} |\nabla v|^2 + \frac{1}{v} \left| \mathbf{H} + \frac{(F - \mathbf{X}_0)^\perp}{2(t_0 - t)} \right|^2 + \frac{|\mathbf{H}|^2}{v} \right) \\
&\quad + \int_{\Sigma_t} \left(\phi \rho \Delta \frac{1}{v} - \frac{1}{v} \phi \Delta \rho \right) - \int_{\Sigma_t} \frac{1}{v} \phi \rho \frac{g^{ij} \Gamma_{ij}^\alpha v_\alpha \cdot (F - \mathbf{X}_0)}{t_0 - t} \\
&\quad + \int_{\Sigma_t} \frac{1}{v} \rho \left(\epsilon^2 \phi |\mathbf{H}|^2 + \frac{1}{4\epsilon^2} \frac{|\nabla \phi|^2}{\phi} \right) \tag{11}
\end{aligned}$$

where we used Cauchy-Schwartz inequality for $\nabla \phi \cdot \mathbf{H}$. By Stokes formula

$$\int_{\Sigma_t} \left(\phi \rho \Delta \frac{1}{v} - \frac{1}{v} \phi \Delta \rho \right) = 2 \int_{\Sigma_t} \frac{1}{v} \nabla \phi \cdot \nabla \rho + \int_{\Sigma_t} \frac{1}{v} \rho \Delta \phi.$$

Since $\phi \in C_0^\infty(B_{2r}(\mathbf{X}_0), \mathbf{R}^+)$, we have (cf. Lemma 6.6 in [II])

$$\frac{|\nabla \phi|^2}{\phi} \leq 2 \max_{\phi > 0} |\nabla^2 \phi|.$$

Note that $\nabla \phi \equiv 0$ in $B_r(\mathbf{X}_0)$, so $|\rho \Delta \phi|$ and $|\nabla \phi \cdot \nabla \rho|$ are bounded in $B_{2r}(\mathbf{X}_0)$. Hence

$$\int_{\Sigma_t} \left| \frac{1}{v} \rho \Delta \phi \right| + \int_{\Sigma_t} \left| \frac{1}{v} \nabla \phi \cdot \nabla \rho \right| \leq C \int_{\Sigma_t} \frac{1}{v} d\mu_t \leq \frac{C}{\min_{\Sigma_0} v} \text{vol}(\Sigma_0) \tag{12}$$

where C depends only on $r, \max(|\nabla^2 \phi| + |\nabla \phi|)$.

Since $\Gamma_{ij}^\alpha(\mathbf{X}_0) = 0$, we may choose r sufficiently small such that

$$|g^{ij} \Gamma_{ij}^\alpha(F)| \leq C |F - \mathbf{X}_0|$$

in $B_{2r}(\mathbf{X}_0)$ for some constant C depending on M . We claim

$$\frac{|g^{ij} \Gamma_{ij}^\alpha v_\alpha \cdot (F - \mathbf{X}_0)|}{t_0 - t} \rho(F, t) \leq c_1 \frac{\rho(F, t)}{\sqrt{t_0 - t}} + C. \tag{13}$$

In fact it suffices to show for any x and $s > 0$

$$\frac{x^2}{s} \frac{e^{-x^2/s}}{s^{n/2}} \leq C \left(1 + \frac{1}{s^{1/2}} \frac{e^{-x^2/s}}{s^{n/2}} \right).$$

To see this, let $y = x^2/s$ and then it is easy to verify that

$$y \leq C \left(s^{n/2} e^y + \frac{1}{s^{1/2}} \right)$$

holds trivially if $y \leq 1/s^{1/2}$ and follows from $y^{n+1} \leq C e^y$ if $y > 1/s^{1/2}$ for some C . So (13) is established.

Letting $\epsilon^2 = 1/2$ in (11) and applying (12), (13) to (11) we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Psi \leq - \int_{\Sigma_t} \frac{1}{v} \phi \rho \left(\frac{2|\nabla v|^2}{v^2} + \left| \mathbf{H} + \frac{(F - \mathbf{X}_0)^\perp}{2(t_0 - t)} \right|^2 + \frac{|\mathbf{H}|^2}{2} \right) + \frac{c_1}{\sqrt{t_0 - t}} \Psi + c_2.$$

The proposition follows. Q.E.D.

Suppose that (X_0, T) is a singular point of the mean curvature flow (3). We now describe the rescaling process around (X_0, T) . For any $t < 0$, we set

$$F_\lambda(x, t) = \lambda(F(x, T + \lambda^{-2}t) - X_0) \quad (14)$$

where λ are positive constants which go to infinity. The scaled submanifold is denoted by $\Sigma_t^\lambda = F_\lambda(\Sigma, t)$ on which $d\mu_t^\lambda$ is the area element obtained from $d\mu_t$. If g^λ is the metric on Σ_t^λ , it is clear that

$$g_{ij}^\lambda = \lambda^2 g_{ij}, \quad (g^\lambda)^{ij} = \lambda^{-2} g^{ij}.$$

We therefore have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial F_\lambda}{\partial t} &= \lambda^{-1} \frac{\partial F}{\partial t} \\ \mathbf{H}_\lambda &= \lambda^{-1} \mathbf{H} \\ |\mathbf{A}_\lambda|^2 &= \lambda^{-2} |\mathbf{A}|^2. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that the scaled submanifold also evolves by a mean curvature flow

$$\frac{\partial F_\lambda}{\partial t} = \mathbf{H}_\lambda. \quad (15)$$

Moreover, since

$$\begin{aligned} d\mu_t^\lambda(F_\lambda(x, t)) &= \lambda^n d\mu_t(F(x, T + \lambda^{-2}t)) \\ \Omega|_{\Sigma_t^\lambda}(F_\lambda(x, t)) &= \lambda^n \Omega|_{\Sigma_t}(F(x, T + \lambda^{-2}t)) \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\cos \theta_\lambda(F_\lambda(x, t)) = \cos \theta(F(x, T + \lambda^{-2}t)).$$

2.2 Integral estimates

Proposition 2.2 *Let (M, Ω) be a Calabi-Yau manifold of complex dimension n . If the initial compact submanifold is Lagrangian and is almost calibrated by $\text{Re}\Omega$, then for any $R > 0$ and any $-\infty < s_1 < s_2 < 0$, we have*

$$\int_{s_1}^{s_2} \int_{\Sigma_t^\lambda \cap B_R(0)} |\nabla \cos \theta_\lambda|^2 d\mu_t^\lambda dt \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \lambda \rightarrow \infty, \quad (16)$$

$$\int_{s_1}^{s_2} \int_{\Sigma_t^\lambda \cap B_R(0)} |\mathbf{H}_\lambda|^2 d\mu_t^\lambda dt \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \lambda \rightarrow \infty, \quad (17)$$

and

$$\int_{s_1}^{s_2} \int_{\Sigma_t^\lambda \cap B_R(0)} |F_\lambda^\perp|^2 d\mu_t^\lambda dt \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \lambda \rightarrow \infty. \quad (18)$$

Proof: For any $R > 0$, we choose a cut-off function $\phi_R \in C_0^\infty(B_{2R}(0))$ with $\phi_R \equiv 1$ in $B_R(0)$, where $B_r(0)$ is the metric ball centered at 0 with radius r in $\mathbf{R}^{2n}$. For any fixed $t < 0$, the mean curvature flow (3) has a smooth solution near $T + \lambda^{-2}t < T$ for sufficiently large λ , since $T > 0$ is the first blow-up time of the flow. Let $v_\lambda = \cos \theta_\lambda$. It is clear

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Sigma_t^\lambda} \frac{1}{v_\lambda} \frac{1}{(0-t)^{n/2}} \phi_R(F_\lambda) \exp\left(-\frac{|F_\lambda|^2}{4(0-t)}\right) d\mu_t^\lambda \\ &= \int_{\Sigma_{T+\lambda^{-2}t}} \frac{1}{v_\lambda} \phi(F_\lambda) \frac{1}{(T-(T+\lambda^{-2}t))^{n/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{|F(x, T+\lambda^{-2}t) - X_0|^2}{4(T-(T+\lambda^{-2}t))}\right) d\mu_t, \end{aligned}$$

where ϕ is the function defined in the definition of Φ . Note that $T + \lambda^{-2}t \rightarrow T$ for any fixed t as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$. By the weighted monotonicity formula (9),

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(e^{c_1 \sqrt{t_0-t}} \Psi \right) \leq c_2 e^{c_1 \sqrt{t_0-t}},$$

and it then follows that $\lim_{t \rightarrow t_0} e^{c_1 \sqrt{t_0-t}} \Psi$ exists. This implies, by taking $t_0 = T$ and $t = T + \lambda^{-2}s$, that for any fixed s_1 and s_2 with $-\infty < s_1 < s_2 < 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} & e^{c_1 \sqrt{T-(T+\lambda^{-2}s_2)}} \int_{\Sigma_{s_2}^\lambda} \frac{1}{v_\lambda} \phi_R \frac{1}{(0-s_2)^{n/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{|F_\lambda|^2}{4(0-s_2)}\right) d\mu_{s_2}^\lambda \\ & - e^{c_1 \sqrt{T-(T+\lambda^{-2}s_1)}} \int_{\Sigma_{s_1}^\lambda} \frac{1}{v_\lambda} \phi_R \frac{1}{(0-s_1)^{n/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{|F_\lambda|^2}{4(0-s_1)}\right) d\mu_{s_1}^\lambda \\ & \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \lambda \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

Integrating (9) from $T + \lambda^{-2}s_1$ to $T + \lambda^{-2}s_2$, and letting $T + \lambda^{-2}s = t$, we get

$$-e^{c_1 \sqrt{-\lambda^{-2}s_2}} \int_{\Sigma_{s_2}^\lambda} \frac{1}{v_\lambda} \phi_R \frac{1}{(0-s_2)^{n/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{|F_\lambda|^2}{4(0-s_2)}\right) d\mu_{s_2}^\lambda$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + e^{c_1 \sqrt{-\lambda^{-2} s_1}} \int_{\Sigma_{s_1}^\lambda} \frac{1}{v_\lambda} \phi_R \frac{1}{(0 - s_1)^{n/2}} \exp \left(-\frac{|F_\lambda|^2}{4(0 - s_1)} \right) d\mu_{s_1}^\lambda \\
& \geq \int_{T+\lambda^{-2} s_1}^{T+\lambda^{-2} s_2} e^{c_1 \sqrt{T-t}} \int_{\Sigma_t} \frac{1}{v} \phi \rho \left(\frac{2|\nabla v|^2}{v^2} + \left| \mathbf{H} + \frac{(F - \mathbf{X}_0)^\perp}{2(T-t)} \right|^2 + \frac{|\mathbf{H}|^2}{2} \right) d\mu_t \\
& \quad - c_2 \lambda^{-2} (s_2 - s_1) \\
& \geq \int_{s_1}^{s_2} e^{c_1 \sqrt{-\lambda^{-2} s}} \int_{\Sigma_s^\lambda} \frac{1}{v_\lambda} \phi_R \rho(F_\lambda, s) \left| \mathbf{H}_\lambda + \frac{(F_\lambda)^\perp}{2(-s)} \right|^2 d\mu_s^\lambda \\
& \quad + \int_{s_1}^{s_2} e^{c_1 \sqrt{-\lambda^{-2} s}} \int_{\Sigma_s^\lambda} \frac{1}{v_\lambda} \phi_R \rho(F_\lambda, s) \frac{|\mathbf{H}_\lambda|^2}{2} d\mu_s^\lambda \\
& \quad + \int_{s_1}^{s_2} e^{c_1 \sqrt{-\lambda^{-2} s}} \int_{\Sigma_s^\lambda} \frac{2}{v_\lambda^3} |\nabla v_\lambda|^2 \phi_R \rho(F_\lambda, s) d\mu_s^\lambda \\
& \quad - c_2 \lambda^{-2} (s_2 - s_1). \tag{20}
\end{aligned}$$

From (19) and (20) the proposition follows.

Q.E.D.

2.3 Upper bound on volume density

Now we show the existence of the λ tangent cones by deriving an finite upper bound for the volume density. These cones are independent of t , but may depend on the blowing up sequence λ .

Proposition 2.3 *Suppose that Σ_t evolves along mean curvature flow and Σ_0 is a compact Lagrangian submanifold in (M, Ω) and is almost calibrated by $Re\Omega$. For any $\lambda, R > 0$ and any $t < 0$,*

$$\mu_t^\lambda(\Sigma_t^\lambda \cap B_R(0)) \leq CR^n, \tag{21}$$

where $B_R(0)$ is a metric ball in $\mathbf{R}^{2n}$ and $C > 0$ is independent of λ . For any sequence $\lambda_i \rightarrow \infty$, there is a subsequence $\lambda_k \rightarrow \infty$ such that $(\Sigma_t^{\lambda_k}, \mu_t^{\lambda_k}) \rightarrow (\Sigma^\infty, \mu^\infty)$ in the sense of measure, for any fixed $t < 0$, where $(\Sigma^\infty, \mu^\infty)$ is independent of t . The multiplicity of Σ^∞ is finite.

Proof: We shall first prove the inequality (21). We shall use C below for uniform positive constants which are independent of R and λ . Straightforward computation shows

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu_t^\lambda(\Sigma_t^\lambda \cap B_R(0)) &= \lambda^n \int_{\Sigma_{T+\lambda^{-2}t} \cap B_{\lambda^{-1}R}(X_0)} d\mu_t \\
&= R^n (\lambda^{-1}R)^{-n} \int_{\Sigma_{T+\lambda^{-2}t} \cap B_{\lambda^{-1}R}(X_0)} d\mu_t \\
&\leq CR^n \int_{\Sigma_{T+\lambda^{-2}t} \cap B_{\lambda^{-1}R}(X_0)} \frac{1}{v_\lambda} \frac{1}{(4\pi)^{n/2} (\lambda^{-1}R)^n} e^{-\frac{|X-X_0|^2}{4(\lambda^{-1}R)^2}} d\mu_t \\
&= CR^n \Psi(X_0, T + (\lambda^{-1}R)^2 + \lambda^{-2}t, T + \lambda^{-2}t).
\end{aligned}$$

By the weighted monotonicity inequality (9), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_t^\lambda(\Sigma_t^\lambda \cap B_R(0)) &\leq CR^n \Psi(X_0, T + (\lambda^{-1}R)^2 + \lambda^{-2}t, T/2) + CR^n \\ &\leq \frac{\mu_{T/2}(\Sigma_{T/2})}{T^{n/2} \min_{\Sigma_0} v} CR^n + CR^n.\end{aligned}$$

Since volume is non-increasing along mean curvature flow:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mu_t(\Sigma_t) = - \int_{\Sigma_t} |\mathbf{H}|^2 d\mu_t,$$

we have therefore established (21):

$$\mu_t^\lambda(\Sigma_t^\lambda \cap B_R(0)) \leq CR^n.$$

By (21), the compactness theorem of the measures (c.f. [Si1], 4.4) and a diagonal subsequence argument, we conclude that there is a subsequence $\lambda_k \rightarrow \infty$ such that $(\Sigma_{t_0}^{\lambda_k}, \mu_{t_0}^{\lambda_k}) \rightarrow (\Sigma_{t_0}^\infty, \mu_{t_0}^\infty)$ in the sense of measures for a fixed $t_0 < 0$.

We now show that, for any $t < 0$, the subsequence λ_k which we have chosen above satisfies $(\Sigma_t^{\lambda_k}, \mu_t^{\lambda_k}) \rightarrow (\Sigma_t^\infty, \mu_t^\infty)$ in the sense of measure. And consequently the limiting submanifold $(\Sigma_{t_0}^\infty, \mu_{t_0}^\infty)$ is independent of t_0 . Recall that the following standard formula for mean curvature flows

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Sigma_t^\lambda} \phi d\mu_t^\lambda = - \int_{\Sigma_t^\lambda} (\phi |\mathbf{H}_\lambda|^2 + \nabla \phi \cdot \mathbf{H}_\lambda) d\mu_t^\lambda \quad (22)$$

is valid for any test function $\phi \in C_0^\infty(M)$ (cf. (1) in Section 6 in [I2] and [B] in the varifold setting).

Then for any given $t < 0$ integrating (22) yields

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\Sigma_t^{\lambda_k}} \phi d\mu_t^{\lambda_k} - \int_{\Sigma_{t_0}^{\lambda_k}} \phi d\mu_{t_0}^{\lambda_k} &= \int_t^{t_0} \int_{\Sigma_s^{\lambda_k}} (\phi |\mathbf{H}_{\lambda_k}|^2 + \nabla \phi \cdot \mathbf{H}_{\lambda_k}) d\mu_s^{\lambda_k} ds \\ &\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty \text{ by (17).}\end{aligned}$$

So, for any fixed $t < 0$, $(\Sigma_t^{\lambda_k}, \mu_t^{\lambda_k}) \rightarrow (\Sigma_t^\infty, \mu_t^\infty)$ in the sense of measures as $k \rightarrow \infty$. We denote $(\Sigma_{t_0}^\infty, \mu_{t_0}^\infty)$ by $(\Sigma^\infty, \mu^\infty)$, which is independent of t_0 .

The inequality (21) yields a uniform upper bound on $R^{-n} \mu_t^{\lambda_k}(\Sigma_t^{\lambda_k} \cap B_R(0))$, which yields finiteness of the multiplicity of Σ^∞ . Q.E.D.

Definition 2.4 Let (X_0, T) be a singular point of the mean curvature flow of a compact Lagrangian submanifold Σ_0 in a compact Calabi-Yau manifold M . We call $(\Sigma^\infty, d\mu^\infty)$ obtained in Proposition 2.3 a *λ tangent cone of the mean curvature flow Σ_t at (X_0, T)* .

3 Rectifiability of λ tangent cones

In this section we shall show that the λ tangent cone Σ^∞ is $\mathcal{H}^n$ -rectifiable, where $\mathcal{H}^n$ is the n -dimensional Hausdorff measure.

Proposition 3.1 *Let M be a compact Calabi-Yau manifold of complex dimension n . If the initial compact submanifold Σ_0 is Lagrangian and almost calibrated by $\text{Re}\Omega$, then the λ tangent cone $(\Sigma^\infty, d\mu^\infty)$ of the mean curvature flow at (X_0, T) is $\mathcal{H}^n$ -rectifiable.*

Proof: Let $(\Sigma_t^k, d\mu_t^k) = (\Sigma_t^{\lambda_k}, d\mu_t^{\lambda_k})$. We set

$$A_R = \left\{ t \in (-\infty, 0) \mid \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Sigma_t^k \cap B_R(0)} |\mathbf{H}_k|^2 d\mu_t^k \neq 0 \right\},$$

and

$$A = \bigcup_{R>0} A_R.$$

Denote the measures of A_R and A by $|A_R|$ and $|A|$ respectively. It is clear from (17) that $|A_R| = 0$ for any $R > 0$. So $|A| = 0$.

For any $\xi \in \Sigma^\infty$, choose $\xi_k \in \Sigma_t^k$ with $\xi_k \rightarrow \xi$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. By the monotonicity identity (17.4) in [Sil], we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma^{-n} \mu_t^k(B_\sigma(\xi_k)) &= \rho^{-n} \mu_t^k(B_\rho(\xi_k)) - \int_{B_\rho(\xi_k) \setminus B_\sigma(\xi_k)} \frac{|D^\perp r|^2}{r^n} d\mu_t^k \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{n} \int_{B_\rho(\xi_k)} (x - \xi_k) \cdot \mathbf{H}_k \left(\frac{1}{r_\sigma^n} - \frac{1}{\rho^n} \right) d\mu_t^k, \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

for all $0 < \sigma \leq \rho$, where $\mu_t^k(B_\sigma(\xi_k))$ is the measure of $\Sigma_t^k \cap B_\sigma(\xi_k)$, $r = r(x)$ is the distance from ξ_k to x , $r_\sigma = \max\{r, \sigma\}$, and $D^\perp r$ denotes the orthogonal projection of Dr (which is a vector of length 1) onto $(T_{\xi_k} \Sigma_t^k)^\perp$. Choosing $t \notin A$, we have

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_\rho(\xi_k)} |\mathbf{H}_k|^2 d\mu_t^k = 0.$$

Hölder's inequality and (21) then lead to

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left| \int_{B_\rho(\xi_k)} (x - \xi_k) \cdot \mathbf{H}_k \left(\frac{1}{r_\sigma^n} - \frac{1}{\rho^n} \right) d\mu_t^k \right| \\ &\leq C \rho \left(\frac{1}{\sigma^n} - \frac{1}{\rho^n} \right) \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sqrt{\mu_t^k(B_\rho(\xi_k))} \sqrt{\int_{B_\rho(\xi_k)} |\mathbf{H}_k|^2 d\mu_t^k} \right) \\ &\leq C \rho^{1+n/2} \left(\frac{1}{\sigma^n} - \frac{1}{\rho^n} \right) \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{\int_{B_\rho(\xi_k)} |\mathbf{H}_k|^2 d\mu_t^k} \\ &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

Letting $k \rightarrow \infty$ in (23) and using (24), we obtain

$$\sigma^{-n} \mu^\infty(B_\sigma(\xi)) \leq \rho^{-n} \mu^\infty(B_\rho(\xi)),$$

for all $0 < \sigma \leq \rho$. By (21) we know that

$$\lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0} \rho^{-n} \mu^\infty(B_\rho(\xi)) < C < \infty.$$

Therefore, $\lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0} \rho^{-n} \mu^\infty(B_\rho(\xi))$ exists.

We shall show that there exists a positive number r_0 such that for any $0 < r < r_0 < 1$ the following density estimate holds

$$\lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0} \rho^{-n} \mu^\infty(B_\rho(\xi)) \geq \frac{1}{4c(n) + 4} > 0 \quad (25)$$

for some positive constant $c(n)$ which will be determined below. Assume (25) fails to hold. Then there is $\rho_0 > 0$ such that

$$(2\rho_0)^{-n} \mu^\infty(B_{2\rho_0}(\xi)) < \frac{1}{4c(n) + 4}.$$

By the monotonicity formula (23) and that μ_t^k converges to μ^∞ as measures, there exists $k_0 > 0$ such that, for all $0 < \rho < 2\rho_0$ and $k > k_0$, we have

$$\rho^{-n} \mu_t^k(B_\rho(\xi)) < \frac{1}{2c(n) + 2}. \quad (26)$$

Take a cut-off function $\phi_\rho \in C_0^\infty(B_\rho(\xi))$ on the $2n$ -dimensional ball $B_\rho(\xi_k)$ so that

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_\rho &\equiv 1 \quad \text{in } B_{\frac{\rho}{2}}(\xi) \\ 0 \leq \phi_\rho &\leq 1, \quad \text{and } |\nabla \phi_\rho| \leq \frac{C}{\rho}, \quad \text{in } B_\rho(\xi). \end{aligned}$$

From (22), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\rho^{-n} \int_{B_\rho(\xi)} \phi_\rho d\mu_{t-r^2}^k - \rho^{-n} \int_{B_\rho(\xi)} \phi_\rho d\mu_t^k \\ &\leq C\rho^{-n} \int_{t-r^2}^t \int_{B_\rho(\xi)} |\mathbf{H}_k|^2 d\mu_s^k ds + C\rho^{-n-1} \int_{t-r^2}^t \int_{B_\rho(\xi)} |\mathbf{H}_k| d\mu_s^k ds \\ &\leq C\rho^{-n} \int_{t-r^2}^t \int_{B_\rho(\xi)} |\mathbf{H}_k|^2 d\mu_s^k ds + C\rho^{-n-1} \int_{t-r^2}^t \left(\int_{B_\rho(\xi)} |\mathbf{H}_k|^2 d\mu_s^k \right)^{1/2} \mu_s^k(B_\rho(\xi))^{1/2} ds \\ &\leq C\rho^{-n} \int_{t-r^2}^t \int_{B_\rho(\xi)} |\mathbf{H}_k|^2 d\mu_s^k ds + C\rho^{-n/2-1} \int_{t-r^2}^t \left(\int_{B_\rho(\xi)} |\mathbf{H}_k|^2 d\mu_s^k \right)^{1/2} ds \quad \text{by (21)} \\ &\rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{by (17)}. \end{aligned}$$

Here we have used C for uniform positive constants which are independent of k and ρ . Therefore, there are constants $\delta_1 > 0$ and $k_1 > 0$ such that for all ρ and k with $0 < \rho < \delta_1$, $0 < r < 1$, and $k > k_1$ the estimate

$$\rho^{-n} \mu_{t-r^2}^k(B_\rho(\xi)) < \frac{1}{c(n) + 1} < 1 \quad (27)$$

holds. Let $d\sigma_{t-r^2}^k$ be the area element of $\partial B_\rho(\xi) \cap \Sigma_{t-r^2}^k$. By the co-area formula, for $0 < r \ll 1$, for a smooth cut-off function ϕ with support in the $2n$ -dimensional ball $B_{\delta_1}(0)$ in $\mathbf{R}^{2n}$ with $0 \leq \phi \leq 1$, $\phi \equiv 1$ in $B_{\delta_1/2}(0)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_k(\xi, t, t-r^2) &= \frac{1}{(4\pi r^2)^{n/2}} \int_{\Sigma_{t-r^2}^k} \phi e^{-\frac{|F_k - \xi|^2}{4r^2}} d\mu_{t-r^2}^k \\ &\leq \frac{1}{(4\pi)^{n/2} r^n} \int_0^{\delta_1} \int_{\partial B_\rho(\xi) \cap \Sigma_{t-r^2}^k} e^{-\frac{\rho^2}{4r^2}} d\sigma_{t-r^2}^k d\rho \\ &\leq \frac{1}{(4\pi)^{n/2} r^n} \int_0^{\delta_1} e^{-\frac{\rho^2}{4r^2}} \frac{d}{d\rho} \text{Vol}(B_\rho(\xi) \cap \Sigma_{t-r^2}^k) d\rho \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\pi^{n/2} (2r)^n} \text{Vol}(B_{\delta_1}(\xi) \cap \Sigma_{t-r^2}^k) e^{-\frac{\delta_1^2}{4r^2}} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{(c(n) + 1) \pi^{n/2}} \int_0^{\delta_1} e^{-\frac{\rho^2}{4r^2}} \frac{\rho^n}{2^n r^n} d\frac{\rho^2}{4r^2} \end{aligned}$$

by integration by parts and (27). By (21),

$$\frac{1}{\pi^{n/2} (2r)^n} \text{Vol}(B_{\delta_1}(\xi) \cap \Sigma_{t-r^2}^k) e^{-\frac{\delta_1^2}{4r^2}} \leq C \left(\frac{\delta_1}{2r} \right)^n e^{-\frac{\delta_1^2}{4r^2}} = o(r).$$

Letting $y = \rho/2r$ we have

$$\int_0^{\delta_1} e^{-\frac{\rho^2}{4r^2}} \left(\frac{\rho}{2r} \right)^n d\left(\frac{\rho}{2r} \right)^2 \leq 2 \int_0^\infty e^{-y^2} y^{n+1} dy = c(n) < \infty,$$

and there is an explicit formula for $c(n)$ depends on whether n is odd or even. Thus we conclude

$$\Phi_k(\xi, t, t-r^2) \leq 1 + o(r).$$

For any classical mean curvature flow Γ_t in a compact Riemannian manifold which is isometrically embedded in $\mathbf{R}^N$, White proves a local regularity theorem (Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 4.1 in [Wh1]): When $\dim \Gamma_t = n$, there is a constant $\epsilon > 0$ such that if the Gaussian density satisfies

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Gamma_{t-r^2}} \frac{1}{(4\pi r^2)^{n/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{|y-x|^2}{4r^2}\right) d\mu(y) < 1 + \epsilon,$$

then the mean curvature flow is smooth in a neighborhood of x . Combining this regularity result with (28), we are led to choose $r > 0$ sufficiently small and then conclude that

$$\sup_{B_r(\xi) \cap \Sigma_t^k} |\mathbf{A}_k| \leq C$$

and consequently Σ_t^k converges strongly in $B_r(\xi) \cap \Sigma_t^k$ to $\Sigma_t^\infty \cap B_r(\xi)$, as $k \rightarrow \infty$. So $\Sigma^\infty \cap B_r(\xi)$ is smooth. Smoothness of $\Sigma^\infty \cap B_r(\xi)$ immediately implies

$$\lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0} \rho^{-n} \mu^\infty(B_\rho(\xi)) = 1.$$

This contradicts (26). Hence we have established (25).

In summary, we have shown that $\lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0} \rho^{-n} \mu^\infty(B_\rho(\xi))$ exists and for $\mathcal{H}^n$ almost all $\xi \in \Sigma^\infty$,

$$\frac{1}{4c(n) + 4} \leq \lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0} \rho^{-n} \mu^\infty(B_\rho(\xi)) < \infty. \quad (28)$$

Finally, we recall a fundamental theorem of Priess in [P]: if $0 \leq m \leq p$ are integers and Ω is a Borel measure on $\mathbf{R}^p$ such that

$$0 < \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\Omega(B_r(x))}{r^m} < \infty,$$

for almost all $x \in \Omega$, then Ω is m -rectifiable. Now we conclude from (28) that $(\Sigma^\infty, \mu^\infty)$ is $\mathcal{H}^n$ -rectifiable. Q.E.D.

4 Minimality of the λ tangent cones

In this section, we will show that the λ tangent cone Σ^∞ is a stationary integer multiplicity rectifiable current in $\mathbf{R}^{2n}$.

Theorem 4.1 *Let M be a compact Calabi-Yau manifold. If the initial compact submanifold is Lagrangian and is almost calibrated by $\text{Re} \Omega$, then the λ tangent cone Σ^∞ is a stationary rectifiable Lagrangian current in $\mathbf{R}^{2n}$ with volume density greater than one at X_0 .*

Proof: Let V_t^k be the varifold defined by Σ_t^k . By the definition of varifolds, we have

$$V_t^k(\psi) = \int_{\Sigma_t^k} \psi(x, T\Sigma_t^k) d\mu_t^k$$

for any $\psi \in C_0^0(G^2(\mathbf{R}^{2n}), \mathbf{R})$, where $G^2(\mathbf{R}^{2n})$ is the Grassmanian bundle of all n -dimensional planes tangent to Σ_t^∞ in $\mathbf{R}^{2n}$. For each smooth submanifold Σ_t^k , the first variation δV_t^k of V_t^k (cf. [A], (39.4) in [Sil] and (1.7) in [I2]) is

$$\delta V_t^k = -\mu_t^k \lrcorner \mathbf{H}_k.$$

By Proposition 2.2, we have that $\delta V_t^k \rightarrow 0$ at t as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

Recall that a k -varifold is a Radon measure on $G^k(M)$, where $G^k(M)$ is the Grassmann bundle of all k -planes tangent to M . Allard's compactness theorem for rectifiable varifolds (6.4 in [A], also see 1.9 in [I2] and Theorem 42.7 in [Si1]) asserts the following: let (V_i, μ_i) be a sequence of rectifiable k -varifolds in M with

$$\sup_{i \geq 1} (\mu_i(U) + |\delta V_i|(U)) < \infty \quad \text{for each } U \subset \subset M.$$

Then there is a varifold (V, μ) of locally bounded first variation and a subsequence, which we also denote by (V_i, μ_i) , such that (i) Convergence of measures: $\mu_i \rightarrow \mu$ as Radon measures on M , (ii) Convergence of tangent planes: $V_i \rightarrow V$ as Radon measures on $G^k(M)$, (iii) Convergence of first variations: $\delta V_i \rightarrow \delta V$ as TM -valued Radon measures, (iv) Lower semicontinuity of total first variations: $|\delta V| \leq \liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} |\delta V_i|$ as Radon measures.

By (iii) in Allard's compactness theorem, we have

$$-\mu^\infty \llcorner \mathbf{H}_\infty = \delta V^\infty = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \delta V_t^k = 0.$$

Therefore Σ^∞ is stationary. The rescaling process in a neighborhood of X_0 in M implies that the metrics g^λ tends to the flat metric on $\mathbf{R}^{2n}$ and the Kähler 2-form ω^λ tends to a constant closed 2-form ω_0 which is determined by $\omega_0(0) = \omega(X_0)$. The tangent spaces to Σ_t^k converge to that to Σ^∞ as measures by (ii) in Allard's compactness theorem. Hence $\omega^{\lambda_k}|_{\Sigma_t^k} \rightarrow \omega_0|_{\Sigma^\infty}$. But Σ_t^k is Lagrangian, it follows $\omega^{\lambda_k}|_{\Sigma_t^k} = 0$ therefore $\omega_0|_{\Sigma^\infty} = 0$. Therefore, Σ^∞ is a Lagrangian.

On the other hand, as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ in the blow-up process, the holomorphic $(n, 0)$ -form Ω converges to a constant holomorphic $(n, 0)$ -form Ω_0 on $\mathbf{R}^n$ determined by $\Omega_0(0) = \Omega(X_0)$. We write

$$\operatorname{Re} \Omega_0|_{\Sigma^\infty} = \theta_0 d\mu^\infty,$$

$$\operatorname{Re} \Omega^{\lambda_k}|_{\Sigma_t^k} = \cos \theta_{\lambda_k} d\mu_t^k$$

and from Allard's compactness theorem

$$\operatorname{Re} \Omega^{\lambda_k}|_{\Sigma_t^k} \rightarrow \operatorname{Re} \Omega_0|_{\Sigma^\infty},$$

and the tangent cone Σ^∞ is of integer multiplicity by the integral compactness theorem of Allard ([A] and [Si1] 42.8). It follows that $\operatorname{Re} \Omega_0|_{\Sigma^\infty} > 0$, which implies that the tangent cone Σ^∞ is orientable. Since Σ^∞ is of integer multiplicity, we have that $d\mu^\infty = \eta(x)\mathcal{H}^n$ where $\eta(x)$ is a locally $\mathcal{H}^n$ -integrable positive integer-valued function. So the cone is an integral current (see Definition 27.1 in [Si1]).

We now show that the volume density of Σ^∞ at X_0 is greater than 1. Otherwise, we would have

$$\lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\omega_n \rho^n} \mu^\infty(B_\rho(0)) \leq 1$$

where ω_n is the volume of the unit n -ball in $\mathbf{R}^n$:

$$\omega_n = \frac{\pi^{n/2}}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2} + 1)}.$$

It then follows from (23) that for any $\epsilon > 0$, there are $\delta > 0$ and $k_0 > 0$ such that for any $0 < \rho < 2\delta$ and $k > k_0$,

$$\rho^{-n} \mu_{0-r^2}^k(B_\rho(\xi)) < \omega_n(1 + \epsilon) \quad (29)$$

for any fixed $r > 0$. The choice of r will be based on the following observation. Set

$$\Phi(F, \mathbf{X}_0, t_0, t) = \int_{\Sigma_t} \phi(F) \frac{1}{(4\pi(t_0 - t))^{n/2}} e^{-\frac{|F - \mathbf{X}_0|^2}{4(t_0 - t)}} d\mu_t$$

where ϕ is supported in $B_\delta(0)$ and $0 \leq \phi \leq 1$, $\phi \equiv 1$ in $B_{\delta/2}(0)$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(F_k, 0, 0, 0 - r^2) &\leq \frac{1}{(4\pi r^2)^{n/2}} \int_0^\delta \int_{\partial B_\rho(0) \cap \Sigma_{0-r^2}^k} e^{-\frac{\rho^2}{4r^2}} d\mu_{0-r^2}^k \\ &\leq \frac{1}{(4\pi r^2)^{n/2}} \int_0^\delta e^{-\frac{\rho^2}{4r^2}} \int_{\partial B_\rho(0) \cap \Sigma_{0-r^2}^k} d\mu_{0-r^2}^k \\ &\leq \frac{1}{(4\pi r^2)^{n/2}} \int_0^\delta e^{-\frac{\rho^2}{4r^2}} \frac{\rho}{2r^2} \text{Vol}(B_\rho(0) \cap \Sigma_{0-r^2}^k) d\rho \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{(4\pi r^2)^{n/2}} e^{-\frac{\delta^2}{4r^2}} \text{Vol}(B_\rho(0) \cap \Sigma_{0-r^2}^k) \\ &\leq \frac{(1 + \epsilon)\omega_n}{(4\pi r^2)^{n/2}} \int_0^\delta e^{-\frac{\rho^2}{4r^2}} \frac{\rho^{n+1}}{2r^2} d\rho + o(r) \quad \text{by (29) and (21)} \\ &= \frac{(1 + \epsilon)\omega_n}{\pi^{n/2}} \int_0^{\frac{\delta^2}{4r^2}} e^{-x} x^{\frac{n}{2}} dx + o(r) \\ &\leq 1 + \epsilon + o(r) \end{aligned}$$

because $\Gamma(\frac{n}{2} + 1) = \int_0^\infty e^{-x} x^{\frac{n}{2}} dx$. Choosing $r > 0$ sufficiently small, we therefore have

$$\Phi(F, X_0, T, T - \lambda_k^{-2} r^2) = \Phi(F_k, 0, 0, 0 - r^2) \leq 1 + \epsilon.$$

Now by White's local regularity theorem ([Wh1] Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 4.1, also see [E2]), (X_0, T) could not be a singular point of the mean curvature flow. This is a contradiction. Q.E.D.

5 Flatness of λ -cone in dimension 2

Regularity of the λ tangent cone can be greatly improved in the 2-dimensional case: $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} M = 2$.

Theorem 5.1 *Let (M, Ω) be a compact Calabi-Yau manifold and let Σ_0 be a compact Lagrangian surface in M which is almost calibrated by $\text{Re}\Omega$. If $0 < T < \infty$ is the first blow-up time of a mean curvature flow of Σ_0 in M , then the λ tangent cone at (X_0, T) consists of a finite union (but more than two) 2-planes in $\mathbf{R}^4$ which are complex in a complex structure on $\mathbf{R}^4$.*

Proof: We use the same notation as that in the proof of Theorem 4.1, we shall show that θ_0 is constant $\mathcal{H}^2$ a.e. on Σ^∞ . To do so, we claim that for any $r > 0$, $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in \Sigma_t^k \cap B_{R/2}(0)$ the following holds

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{1}{\text{Vol}(B_r(\xi_1) \cap \Sigma_t^k)} \int_{B_r(\xi_1) \cap \Sigma_t^k} \cos \theta_k d\mu_t^k - \frac{1}{\text{Vol}(B_r(\xi_2) \cap \Sigma_t^k)} \int_{B_r(\xi_2) \cap \Sigma_t^k} \cos \theta_k d\mu_t^k \right| \\ & \leq \frac{C_1(r)}{\text{Vol}(B_r(\xi_1) \cap \Sigma_t^k)} \cdot \frac{C_2(r)}{\text{Vol}(B_r(\xi_2) \cap \Sigma_t^k)} \int_{B_R(0) \cap \Sigma_t^k} |\nabla \cos \theta_k| d\mu_t^k, \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

where $B_r(\xi_i)$, $i = 1, 2$, are the 4-dimensional balls in M . To prove (30), let us first recall the isoperimetric inequality on Σ_t^k (c.f. [HSp] and [MS]): let $B_\rho^k(p)$ be the geodesic ball in Σ_t^k , with radius ρ and center p , then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Vol}(B_\rho^k(p)) & \leq C \left(\text{length}(\partial(B_\rho^k(p))) + \int_{B_\rho^k(p)} |\mathbf{H}_k| d\mu_t^k \right)^2 \\ & \leq C \left(\text{length}(\partial(B_\rho^k(p))) + \left(\int_{B_\rho^k(p)} |\mathbf{H}_k|^2 d\mu_t^k \right)^{1/2} \text{Vol}^{1/2}(B_\rho^k(p)) \right)^2, \end{aligned}$$

for any $p \in \Sigma_t^k$, and any $\rho > 0$, where C does not depend on k , ρ , and p . By Proposition 2.2, we have

$$\int_{B_\rho^k(p)} |\mathbf{H}_k|^2 d\mu_t^k \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

So, for k sufficiently large, we obtain:

$$\text{Vol}(B_\rho^k(p)) \leq C \left(\text{length}(\partial(B_\rho^k(p))) \right)^2.$$

In particular, for k sufficiently large, the isoperimetric inequality implies

$$\text{Vol}(B_\rho^k(p)) \geq C\rho^2, \quad (31)$$

where C is a positive constant independent of k, ρ and p .

Suppose that the diameter of $B_r(\xi) \cap \Sigma_t^k$ is $d_k(\xi)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
Cr^2 &\geq \int_{B_r(\xi) \cap \Sigma_t^k} d\mu_t^k \quad \text{by (21)} \\
&= \int_0^{d_k(\xi)/2} \int_{\partial B_\rho^k(p)} d\sigma d\rho \quad \text{for some } p \in \Sigma_t^k \\
&\geq c \int_0^{d_k(\xi)/2} \text{Vol}^{1/2}(B_\rho^k(p)) d\rho + o(1), \quad o(1) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty \\
&\geq c \int_0^{d_k(\xi)/2} C\rho d\rho + o(1) \quad \text{by (31)} \\
&\geq cd_k(\xi)^2 + o(1).
\end{aligned}$$

We therefore have, for any ξ ,

$$d_k(\xi) \leq Cr + o(1) \quad (32)$$

where the constant C is independent of ξ and k .

For any fixed $\eta \in B_r(\xi_2) \cap \Sigma_t^k$ and any $\xi \in B_r(\xi_1) \cap \Sigma_t^k$, we choose a geodesic $l_{\eta\xi}$ connecting η and ξ , call it a ray from η to ξ . Take an open tubular neighborhood $U(l_{\eta\xi})$ of $l_{\eta\xi}$ in Σ_t^k . Within this neighborhood $U(l_{\eta\xi})$, we call the line in the normal direction of the ray $l_{\eta\xi}$ the normal line which we denote by $n(l_{\eta\xi})$. It is clear that

$$\cos \theta_k(\xi) - \cos \theta_k(\eta) = \int_{l_{\eta\xi}} \partial_l \cos \theta_k dl \quad (33)$$

where dl is the arc-length element of $l_{\eta\xi}$. Choose r small enough so that $B_r(\xi_1) \cap \Sigma_t^k$ is contained in $U(l_{\eta\xi_1})$. Keeping η fixed and integrating (33) with respect to the variable ξ , first along the normal direction $n(l_{\eta\xi_1})$ and then on the ray direction $l_{\eta\xi_1}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left| \frac{1}{\text{Vol}(B_r(\xi_1) \cap \Sigma_t^k)} \int_{B_r(\xi_1) \cap \Sigma_t^k} \cos \theta_k(\xi) d\mu_t^k - \cos \theta_k(\eta) \right| \\
&\leq \frac{1}{\text{Vol}(B_r(\xi_1) \cap \Sigma_t^k)} \int_0^{d_k(\xi_1)} \int_{n(l_{\eta\xi_1})} \int_{l_{\eta\xi}} |\nabla \cos \theta_k| dl dn(\xi) d\rho \\
&\leq \frac{1}{\text{Vol}(B_r(\xi_1) \cap \Sigma_t^k)} \int_0^{d_k(\xi_1)} \int_{B_R(0)} |\nabla \cos \theta_k| d\mu_t^k d\rho \\
&\leq \frac{Cr}{\text{Vol}(B_r(\xi_1) \cap \Sigma_t^k)} \int_{B_R(0)} |\nabla \cos \theta_k| d\mu_t^k, \quad (34)
\end{aligned}$$

here in the last step we have used (32). From (34), integrating with respect to η in $B_r(\xi_2) \cap \Sigma_t^k$ and dividing by $\text{Vol}(B_r(\xi_2) \cap \Sigma_t^k)$, we get the desired inequality (30).

For $i = 1, 2$ Hölder's inequality and (21) lead to

$$\int_{B_r(\xi_i) \cap \Sigma_t^k} |\nabla \cos \theta_k| d\mu_t^k \leq Cr \left(\int_{B_r(\xi_i) \cap \Sigma_t^k} |\nabla \cos \theta_k|^2 d\mu_t^k \right)^{1/2}.$$

The triangle inequality implies $B_r^k(\xi_i) \subset B_r(\xi_i) \cap \Sigma_t^k$ for $i = 1, 2$; therefore by (31)

$$\text{Vol}(B_r(\xi_i) \cap \Sigma_t^k) \geq \text{Vol}(B_r^k(\xi_i)) \geq Cr^2.$$

Now first letting $k \rightarrow \infty$ in (30) and using that the right hand side of (30) tends to 0 by Proposition 2.2, and then letting $r \rightarrow 0$, we conclude that θ is constant $\mathcal{H}^2$ a.e. on Σ^∞ .

The $(2, 0)$ -form Ω_0 is fixed by $\Omega(\mathbf{X}_0)$ hence it has unit length. In the complex structure $J_{\mathbf{X}_0}$ on $\mathbf{R}^4$, $\Omega_0 = dz_1 \wedge dz_2$. We define a new complex structure J^* on $\mathbf{R}^4$:

$$\begin{aligned} J^*(\partial/\partial x_1) &= \theta_0(\partial/\partial y_1), \quad J^*(\partial/\partial y_1) = -1/\theta_0(\partial/\partial x_1), \\ J^*(\partial/\partial x_2) &= 1/\theta_0(\partial/\partial y_2), \quad J^*(\partial/\partial y_2) = -\theta_0(\partial/\partial x_2). \end{aligned}$$

In J^* , the complex coordinates are: $z_1^* = x_1 + \sqrt{-1}\theta_0^{-1}y_1$, $z_2^* = \theta_0^{-1}x_2 + \sqrt{-1}y_2$. Then $\Omega_0^* = dz_1^* \wedge dz_2^*$ satisfies that $\text{Re } \Omega_0^*|_{\Sigma^\infty} = d\mu^\infty$.

We can further choose a new complex structure J' on $\mathbf{R}^4$ such that Ω_0^* is of type $(1, 1)$ in J' . In fact, if we express J^* in the local coordinates $x_1, \theta_0^{-1}y_1, \theta_0^{-1}x_2, y_2$ by

$$J^* = \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{with } I = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

then we can take

$$J' = \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & -I \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore Σ^∞ is a stationary rectifiable current of type $(1, 1)$ with respect to the complex structure J' . By Harvey-Shiffman's Theorem 2.1 in [HS], Σ^∞ is a J' -holomorphic subvariety of complex dimension one. It then follows that the singular locus $\mathcal{S}$ of Σ^∞ consists of isolated points.

Without loss of any generality, we may assume $0 \in \Sigma^\infty$ where 0 is the origin of $\mathbf{R}^4$. In fact, if not, Σ^∞ would move to infinity, then we would have

$$\Phi(F, X_0, T, T - \lambda_k^{-2}r^2) = \Phi(F_k, 0, 0, 0 - r^2) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

But White's regularity theorem then implies that (X_0, T) is a regular point. This is impossible.

There is a sequence of points $X_k \in \Sigma_t^k$ satisfying $X_k \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. By Proposition 2.2, for any s_1 and s_2 with $-\infty < s_1 < s_2 < 0$ and any $R > 0$, we have

$$\int_{s_1}^{s_2} \int_{\Sigma_t^k \cap B_R(0)} |F_k^\perp|^2 d\mu_t^k dt \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Thus, by (21)

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \int_{\Sigma_t^k \cap B_R(0)} \left| (F_k - X_k)^\perp \right|^2 d\mu_t^k dt \\
& \leq 2 \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \int_{\Sigma_t^k \cap B_R(0)} \left| F_k^\perp \right|^2 d\mu_t^k dt + C(s_2 - s_1) R^2 \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |X_k|^2 \\
& = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Let us denote the tangent spaces of Σ_t^k at the point $F_k(x, t)$ and of Σ^∞ at the point $F^\infty(x, t)$ by $T\Sigma_t^k$ and $T\Sigma^\infty$ respectively. It is clear that

$$(F_k - X_k)^\perp = \text{dist}(X_k, T\Sigma_t^k),$$

and

$$(F_\infty)^\perp = \text{dist}(0, T\Sigma^\infty).$$

By Allard's compactness theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{s_1}^{s_2} \int_{\Sigma^\infty \cap B_R(0)} \left| (F_\infty)^\perp \right|^2 d\mu^\infty dt &= \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \int_{\Sigma^\infty \cap B_R(0)} |\text{dist}(0, T\Sigma^\infty)|^2 d\mu^\infty dt \\
&= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \int_{\Sigma_t^k \cap B_R(0)} \left| \text{dist}(X_k, T\Sigma_t^k) \right|^2 d\mu_t^k dt \\
&= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \int_{\Sigma_t^k \cap B_R(0)} \left| (F_k - X_k)^\perp \right|^2 d\mu_t^k dt \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore $F_\infty^\perp \equiv 0$. Differentiating $\langle F_\infty, v_\alpha \rangle = 0$, inner product is taken in $\mathbf{R}^4$, leads to

$$0 = \langle \partial_i F_\infty, v_\alpha \rangle + \langle F_\infty, \partial_i v_\alpha \rangle = \langle F_\infty, \partial_i v_\alpha \rangle.$$

Because $\partial_i F_\infty$ is tangential to Σ^∞ , by Weingarten's equation we observe

$$(h_\infty)_{ij}^\alpha \langle F_\infty, e_j \rangle = 0 \quad \text{for all } \alpha, i = 1, 2.$$

Since either $\langle F_\infty, e_1 \rangle \neq 0$ or $\langle F_\infty, e_2 \rangle \neq 0$, we conclude $\det(h_{ij}^\alpha) = 0$. Recall $h_{11}^\alpha + h_{22}^\alpha = 0$. It then follows $h_{ij}^\alpha = 0$, for $i, j, \alpha = 1, 2$. Now we conclude that Σ^∞ consists of flat 2-planes. Q.E.D.

6 Tangent cones from a time dependent scaling

In this section, we consider the tangent cones which arise from the rescaled submanifold $\tilde{\Sigma}_s$ defined by

$$\tilde{F}(\cdot, s) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2(T-t)}} F(\cdot, t), \quad (35)$$

where $s = -\frac{1}{2} \log(T-t)$, $c_0 \leq s < \infty$. Here we choose the coordinates so that $X_0 = 0$. Rescaling of this type was used by Huisken [H2] to distinguish Type I and Type II singularities for mean curvature flows. Denote the rescaled submanifold by $\tilde{\Sigma}_s$. From the evolution equation of F we derive the flow equation for $\tilde{F}$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial s} \tilde{F}(x, s) = \tilde{\mathbf{H}}(x, s) + \tilde{F}(x, s). \quad (36)$$

It is clear that

$$\begin{aligned} \cos \tilde{\theta}(x, s) &= \cos \theta(x, t), \\ |\tilde{\mathbf{H}}|^2(x, s) &= 2(T-t)|\mathbf{H}|^2(x, t), \\ |\tilde{\mathbf{A}}|^2(x, s) &= 2(T-t)|\mathbf{A}|^2(x, t). \end{aligned}$$

We set $\tilde{v}(x, t) = \cos \tilde{\theta}(x, s)$.

Lemma 6.1 *Assume that (M, Ω) is a compact Calabi-Yau manifold and Σ_t evolves by a mean curvature flow in M with the initial submanifold Σ_0 being Lagrangian and almost calibrated by $\text{Re}\Omega$. Then*

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s} - \tilde{\Delta} \right) \tilde{v}(x, s) = |\tilde{\mathbf{H}}|^2 \tilde{v}(x, s). \quad (37)$$

Proof: One can check directly that

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s} - \tilde{\Delta} \right) \cos \tilde{\alpha}(x, s) = 2(T-t) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta \right) \cos \alpha(x, t).$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s} - \tilde{\Delta} \right) \tilde{v}(x, s) &= 2(T-t) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta \right) v(x, t) \\ &\geq 2(T-t) |\mathbf{H}|^2 v(x, t) \\ &= |\tilde{\mathbf{H}}|^2 \tilde{v}(x, s). \end{aligned}$$

This proves the lemma. Q.E.D.

Next, we shall derive the corresponding weighted monotonicity formula for the scaled flow. By (37), we have

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s} - \tilde{\Delta} \right) \frac{1}{\tilde{v}} = -\frac{|\tilde{\mathbf{H}}|^2}{\tilde{v}} - \frac{2|\tilde{\nabla} \tilde{v}|^2}{\tilde{v}^3}.$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\rho}(X) &= \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} |X|^2 \right), \\ \Psi(s) &= \int_{\tilde{\Sigma}_s} \frac{1}{\tilde{v}} \phi \tilde{\rho}(\tilde{F}) d\tilde{\mu}_s. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 6.2 *There are positive constants c_1 and c_2 which depend on M , F_0 and r which is the constant in the definition of ϕ , so that the following monotonicity formula holds*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \exp(c_1 e^{-s}) \Psi(s) \leq & -\exp(c_1 e^{-s}) \left(\int_{\tilde{\Sigma}_s} \frac{1}{\tilde{v}} \phi \tilde{\rho}(\tilde{F}) \left| \tilde{\mathbf{H}} + \tilde{F}^\perp \right|^2 d\tilde{\mu}_s \right. \\ & + \int_{\tilde{\Sigma}_s} \frac{1}{\tilde{v}} \phi \tilde{\rho}(\tilde{F}) \frac{|\tilde{\mathbf{H}}|^2}{2} d\tilde{\mu}_s + \int_{\tilde{\Sigma}_s} \frac{2}{\tilde{v}^3} |\tilde{\nabla} \tilde{v}|^2 \phi \tilde{\rho}(\tilde{F}) d\tilde{\mu}_s \Big) \\ & + c_2 \exp(c_1 e^{-s}). \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

Proof: Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{F}(x, s) &= \frac{F(x, t)}{\sqrt{2(T-t)}}, \\ \tilde{\mathbf{H}}(x, s) &= \sqrt{2(T-t)} \mathbf{H}(x, t), \\ |\tilde{\nabla} \tilde{v}|^2(x, s) &= 2(T-t) |\nabla v|^2(x, t). \end{aligned}$$

By the chain rule

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial s} = 2e^{-2s} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$$

and the monotonicity inequality (9) for the unscaled submanifold, we obtain the desired inequality. Q.E.D.

Lemma 6.3 *Let (M, Ω) be a compact Calabi-Yau manifold. If the initial compact submanifold Σ_0 is Lagrangian and almost calibrated by $\text{Re} \Omega$, then there is a sequence $s_k \rightarrow \infty$ such that, for any $R > 0$,*

$$\int_{\tilde{\Sigma}_{s_k} \cap B_R(0)} |\tilde{\nabla} \cos \tilde{\theta}|^2 d\tilde{\mu}_{s_k} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty, \quad (39)$$

$$\int_{\tilde{\Sigma}_{s_k} \cap B_R(0)} |\tilde{\mathbf{H}}|^2 d\tilde{\mu}_{s_k} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty, \quad (40)$$

and

$$\int_{\tilde{\Sigma}_{s_k} \cap B_R(0)} |\tilde{F}^\perp|^2 d\tilde{\mu}_{s_k} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty. \quad (41)$$

Proof: Integrating (38), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \infty &> \int_{s_0}^\infty \int_{\tilde{\Sigma}_s} \frac{1}{\tilde{v}} \phi \tilde{\rho}(\tilde{F}) \left| \tilde{\mathbf{H}} + \tilde{F}^\perp \right|^2 d\tilde{\mu}_s ds \\ &+ \int_{s_0}^\infty \left(\int_{\tilde{\Sigma}_s} \frac{1}{\tilde{v}} \phi \tilde{\rho}(\tilde{F}) \frac{|\tilde{\mathbf{H}}|^2}{2} d\tilde{\mu}_s + \int_{\tilde{\mu}_s} \frac{2}{\tilde{v}^3} |\tilde{\nabla} \tilde{v}|^2 \phi \tilde{\rho}(\tilde{F}) d\tilde{\mu}_s \right) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Hence there is a sequence $s_k \rightarrow \infty$, such that as $k \rightarrow \infty$

$$\int_{\tilde{\Sigma}_{s_k}} \frac{1}{\tilde{v}} \phi \tilde{\rho}(\tilde{F}) \frac{|\tilde{\mathbf{H}}|^2}{2} d\tilde{\mu}_{s_k} \rightarrow 0,$$

$$\int_{\tilde{\Sigma}_{s_k}} \frac{2}{\tilde{v}^3} |\tilde{\nabla} \tilde{v}|^2 \phi \tilde{\rho}(\tilde{F}) d\tilde{\mu}_{s_k} \rightarrow 0,$$

and

$$\int_{\tilde{\Sigma}_{s_k}} \frac{1}{\tilde{v}} \phi \tilde{\rho}(\tilde{F}) \left| \tilde{\mathbf{H}} + \tilde{F}^\perp \right|^2 d\tilde{\mu}_{s_k} \rightarrow 0.$$

Since $\tilde{v}$ has a positive lower bound, the proposition now follows. Q.E.D.

The proof of the following lemma is essentially the same as the one for Proposition 3.1, except there are two parameters λ, t for the λ tangent cones but only one parameter t for the time dependent tangent cones. Note that the alternative proof given in [CL1] using the isoperimetric inequality only works in dimension 2.

Lemma 6.4 *There is a subsequence of s_k , which we also denote by s_k , such that $(\tilde{\Sigma}_{s_k}, d\tilde{\mu}_{s_k}) \rightarrow (\tilde{\Sigma}_\infty, d\tilde{\mu}_\infty)$ in the sense of measures. And $(\tilde{\Sigma}_\infty, d\tilde{\mu}_\infty)$ is $\mathcal{H}^n$ -rectifiable.*

Proof: To show the subconvergence, it suffices to show that, for any $R > 0$,

$$\tilde{\mu}_{s_k}(\tilde{\Sigma}_{s_k} \cap B_R(0)) \leq CR^n, \quad (42)$$

where $B_R(0)$ is a metric ball in $\mathbf{R}^{2n}$, $C > 0$ is independent of k . Direct calculation leads to

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mu}_{s_k}(\tilde{\Sigma}_{s_k} \cap B_R(0)) &= (2(T-t))^{-n/2} \int_{\Sigma_{T-e^{2s_k}} \cap B_{\sqrt{2(T-t)}R}(0)} d\mu_t \\ &= R^n \left(\sqrt{2}e^{-s_k}R \right)^{-n} \int_{\Sigma_{T-e^{2s_k}} \cap B_{\sqrt{2}e^{-s_k}R}(0)} d\mu_t \\ &\leq CR^n \int_{\Sigma_{T-e^{2s_k}} \cap B_{\sqrt{2(T-t)}R}(0)} \frac{1}{v} \frac{1}{(4\pi)^{n/2} (\sqrt{2}e^{-s_k}R)^n} e^{-\frac{|X-X_0|^2}{4\sqrt{2}e^{-s_k}R}} d\mu_t \\ &\leq CR^n \Psi \left(0, T + (\sqrt{2}e^{-s_k}R)^2 - e^{2s_k}, T - e^{2s_k} \right) \end{aligned}$$

By the monotonicity inequality (9), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mu}_{s_k}(\tilde{\Sigma}_{s_k} \cap B_R(0)) &\leq CR^n \Phi(0, T + (\sqrt{2}e^{-s_k}R)^2 - e^{2s_k}, T/2) + CR^n \\ &\leq \frac{C\mu_{T/2}(\Sigma_{T/2})}{T^{n/2} \min_{\Sigma_0} v} R^n + CR^n. \end{aligned}$$

Since volume is non-increasing along mean curvature flow, we see

$$\tilde{\mu}_{s_k}(\tilde{\Sigma}_{s_k} \cap B_R(0)) \leq CR^n.$$

We now prove that $(\tilde{\Sigma}_\infty, d\tilde{\mu}_\infty)$ is $\mathcal{H}^n$ -rectifiable. For any $\xi \in \tilde{\Sigma}_\infty$, choose $\xi_k \in \tilde{\Sigma}_{s_k}$ with $\xi_k \rightarrow \xi$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. By the monotonicity identity (17.4) in [Sil],

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma^{-n} \tilde{\mu}_{s_k}(B_\sigma(\xi_k)) &= \rho^{-n} \tilde{\mu}_{s_k}(B_\rho(\xi_k)) - \int_{B_\rho(\xi_k) \setminus B_\sigma(\xi_k)} \frac{|D^\perp r|^2}{r^n} d\tilde{\mu}_{s_k} \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{n} \int_{B_\rho(\xi_k)} (x - \xi_k) \cdot \widetilde{\mathbf{H}}_k \left(\frac{1}{r_\sigma^n} - \frac{1}{\rho^n} \right) d\tilde{\mu}_{s_k}, \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

for all $0 < \sigma \leq \rho$, where $\tilde{\mu}_{s_k}(B_\sigma(\xi_k))$ is the area of $\tilde{\Sigma}_{s_k} \cap B_\sigma(\xi_k)$, $r_\sigma = \max\{r, \sigma\}$ and $D^\perp r$ denotes the orthogonal projection of Dr (which is a vector of length 1) onto $(T_{\xi_k} \tilde{\Sigma}_{s_k})^\perp$. Letting $k \rightarrow \infty$, by Lemma 6.3, we have

$$\sigma^{-n} \tilde{\mu}_\infty(B_\sigma(\xi)) \leq \rho^{-n} \tilde{\mu}_\infty(B_\rho(\xi)),$$

for all $0 < \sigma \leq \rho$. Therefore, $\lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0} \rho^{-n} \tilde{\mu}_\infty(B_\rho(\xi))$ exists and is finite by (42).

By converting s to t , the argument for the positive lower bound of the volume density in the proof of Proposition 3.1 carries over to the present situation.

We conclude that $\lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0} \rho^{-n} \tilde{\mu}_\infty(B_\rho(\xi))$ exists and for $\mathcal{H}^n$ almost all $\xi \in \tilde{\Sigma}_\infty$,

$$0 < C \leq \lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0} \rho^{-n} \tilde{\mu}_\infty(B_\rho(\xi)) < \infty. \quad (44)$$

Priess's theorem in [P] then asserts the $\mathcal{H}^n$ -rectifiability of $(\tilde{\Sigma}_\infty, d\tilde{\mu}_\infty)$. Q.E.D.

Definition 6.5 We call $(\tilde{\Sigma}_\infty, d\tilde{\mu}_\infty)$ obtained in Lemma 6.4 a *tangent cone of the mean curvature flow Σ_t at (X_0, T) in the time dependent scaling*.

With the lemmas established in this section, by using arguments completely similar to those for the λ tangent cones in the previous sections, we can prove

Theorem 6.6 *Let (M, Ω) be a compact Calabi-Yau manifold. If the initial compact submanifold Σ_0 is Lagrangian and almost calibrated by $\text{Re } \Omega$ and $T \geq 0$ is the first blow-up time of the mean curvature flow, then the tangent cone $\tilde{\Sigma}_\infty$ of the mean curvature flow at (X_0, T) coming from time dependent scaling is a rectifiable stationary Lagrangian current with integer multiplicity in $\mathbf{R}^{2n}$. Moreover, if M is of complex 2-dimensional, then $\tilde{\Sigma}_\infty$ consists of a finitely many (more than 1) 2-planes in $\mathbf{R}^4$ which are complex in a complex structure on $\mathbf{R}^4$.*

The result below can also be found in [Wa].

Corollary 6.7 *If the initial compact submanifold Σ_0 is Lagrangian and is almost calibrated in a compact Calabi-Yau manifold (M, Ω) , then mean curvature flow does not develop Type I singularity.*

Proof: Let X_0 be a Type I singularity at $T < \infty$ and set $\lambda = \max_{\Sigma_t} |\mathbf{A}|^2$. The λ tangent cone Σ_∞ is smooth if T is a Type I singularity. Therefore Σ_∞ is a smooth minimal Lagrangian submanifold in $\mathbf{C}^n$ by Theorem 6.6. Because Σ_∞ is smooth, (18) implies $F_\infty^\perp \equiv 0$ everywhere. The monotonicity identity (23) then implies $\sigma^{-n} \mu(\Sigma_\infty \cap B_\sigma(0))$ is a constant independent of σ , and the volume density ratio at 0 is one due to the smoothness of Σ_∞ , so Σ_∞ is a flat linear subspace of $\mathbf{R}^{2n}$. But the second fundamental form of Σ_∞ has length one at 0 according to the blow-up process, and the contradiction rules out any Type I singularity. Q.E.D.

REFERENCES

- [A] W. Allard, First variation of a varifold, *Annals of Math.* **95** (1972), 419-491.
- [B] K. Brakke, The motion of a surface by its mean curvature, Princeton Univ. Press, 1978.
- [CL1] J. Chen and J. Li, Singularities of codimension two mean curvature flow of symplectic surfaces, preprint (2002).
- [CL2] J. Chen and J. Li, Mean curvature flow of surface in 4-manifolds, *Adv. Math.* **163** (2001), 287-309.
- [CLT] J. Chen, J. Li and G. Tian, Two-dimensional graphs moving by mean curvature flow, *Acta Math. Sinica, English Series* Vol.**18**, No.2 (2002), 209-224.
- [CT] J. Chen and G. Tian, Moving symplectic curves in Kähler-Einstein surfaces, *Acta Math. Sinica, English Series*, **16** (4), (2000), 541-548.
- [E1] K. Ecker, On regularity for mean curvature flow of hypersurfaces, *Calc. Var.* **3**(1995), 107-126.
- [E2] K. Ecker, A local monotonicity formula for mean curvature flow, *Ann. Math.*, **154**(2001), 503-525.
- [HL] R. Harvey and H.B. Lawson, Calibrated geometries, *Acta Math.* **148** (1982), 47-157.
- [HS] R. Harvey and B. Shiffman, A characterization of holomorphic chains, *Acta Math.* **148** (1982), 47-157.
- [HSp] D. Hoffman and J. Spruck, Sobolev and isoperimetric inequalities for Riemannian submanifolds, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **27** (1974), 715-727.
- [H1] G. Huisken, Asymptotic behavior for singularities of the mean curvature flow, *J. Diff. Geom.* **31** (1990), 285-299.
- [H2] G. Huisken, Flow by mean curvature of convex surfaces into spheres, *J. Diff. Geom.* **20** (1984), 237-266.
- [H3] G. Huisken, Contracting convex hypersurfaces in Riemannian manifolds by their mean curvature, *Invent. math.* **84** (1986), 463-480.
- [HS1] G. Huisken and C. Sinestrari, Convexity estimates for mean curvature flow and singularities of mean convex surfaces. *Acta Math.* **183** (1999), no. 1, 45-70.

- [**HS2**] G. Huisken and C. Sinestrari, Mean curvature flow singularities for mean convex surfaces. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* **8** (1999), no. 1, 1-14.
- [**I1**] T. Ilmanen, Singularity of mean curvature flow of surfaces, preprint.
- [**I2**] T. Ilmanen, Elliptic Regularization and Partial Regularity for Motion by Mean Curvature, *Memoirs of the Amer. Math. Soc.*, 520, 1994.
- [**MS**] J.H. Michael and L. Simon, Sobolev and mean-valued inequalities on generalized submanifolds of R^n , *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **26** (1973), 361-379.
- [**P**] D. Priess, Geometry of measures in R^n ; Distribution, rectifiability, and densities; *Ann. of Math.*, **125** (1987), 537-643.
- [**ScW**] R. Schoen and J. Wolfson, Minimizing area among Lagrangian surfaces: the mapping problem, *J. Differential Geom.* **58** (2001), 1-86.
- [**Si1**] L. Simon, Lectures on Geometric Measure Theory, *Proc. Center Math. Anal.* 3 (1983), Australian National Univ. Press.
- [**Sm1**] K. Smoczyk, Der Lagrangesche mittlere Krümmungsfluss. Univ. Leipzig (Habil.-Schr.), 102 S. 2000.
- [**Sm2**] K. Smoczyk, Harnack inequality for the Lagrangian mean curvature flow. *Calc. Var. PDE*, **8** (1999), 247-258.
- [**Sm3**] K. Smoczyk, Angle theorems for the Lagrangian mean curvature flow, preprint 2001.
- [**TY**] R. Thomas and S.T. Yau, Special Lagrangians, stable bundles and mean curvature flow, *math.DG/0104197* (2001).
- [**Wa**] M.-T. Wang, Mean curvature flow of surfaces in Einstein four manifolds, *J. Diff. Geom.* **57** (2001), 301-338.
- [**Wh1**] B. White, A local regularity theorem for classical mean curvature flow, preprint (2000).
- [**Wh2**] B. White, Stratification of minimal surfaces, mean curvature flows, and harmonic maps, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **488** (1997), 1-35.
- [**Wh3**] B. White, The size of the singular set in mean curvature flow of mean-convex sets, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **13** (2000), 665-695.